Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

*Total of .

forms are submitted.

PTO/SB/33 (07-09)

Approved for use through 07/31/2012, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		I-2-0162.1US	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail	Application Number		Filed
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	09/845,803		April 30, 2001
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	Zeira et al.		
	Art Unit		Examiner
Typed or printed name	2472		Raj K. Jain
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the		^	
applicant/inventor.		long A Mul	
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Signature Thomas A. Mattioli Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 56,773	215-5	668-6400	
rogentation number	<u> </u>	Tele	phone number
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	April	8, 2011	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	_ Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Zeira et al.

Application No.: 09/845,803

Confirmation No.: 3229

Filed:

April 30, 2001

For: DOWNLINK POWER CONTROL FOR MULTIPLE DOWNLINK TIME SLOTS IN TDD COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

Group:

2472

Examiner:

Raj K. Jain

Our File:

I-2-0162.1US

Date:

April 8, 2011

REASONS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Communication is being timely filed in response to the Final Office Action dated January 10, 2011.

A Pre-Appeal Brief Review is hereby requested in the above application for the following reasons:

Application No.: 09/845,803

In the Office Action, claims 31-36 and 40 were finally rejected under 35

U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent Publication No.

2002/0016177 (Miya et al.) in view of U. S. Reference No. 6,035,210 (Endo et al.).

Figures 2 and 5 of Miya as cited by the Examiner, and their corresponding

description, refer to setting the transmission power level for a particular mobile

station in a **TDD** Cycle designated i-1, i, i+1. Miya illustrates an **individual**

setting of power level for each of the TDD cycles using transmit power commands

(TPC) for that TDD cycle. However, there is no disclosure, teaching or suggestion of

what exactly a "TDD cycle" refers to in the Miya reference, or that i-1, i, and i+1

are, as claimed, timeslots of a same CCTrCH channel transmission. In fact, in a

reasonable interpretation, i-1, i, i+1 appear to be referring to different

communication frames where i is the subsequent transmitted frame after i-1, and

i+1 is the subsequent frame transmitted after *i*. Accordingly, the TPC commands

Ui-1, Di-1 would correspond to TDD cycle i-1, Ui, Di to TDD cycle i, and Ui+1, Di+1

to TDD cycle i+1.

Accordingly, it is not disclosed, taught or suggested in Miya that a single TPC

command is sent for an entire CCTrCH that includes a plurality of timeslots. Any

TPC command disclosed in Miya is exclusively for, at best (assuming transmission

interval in a TDD cycle is a timeslot), an individual timeslot of a TDD cycle (e.g., i-1,

- 2 -

i, or i+1), with no disclosure, teaching or suggestion that the TPC would be the

same for any other timeslot transmitted over the CCTrCH.

The present claims disclose sending a single power command for a CCTrCH

which includes a *plurality* of time slots. Nowhere in the Miya reference is it

disclosed, suggested, or taught to send a single power command for a CCTrCH

which includes a plurality of time slots. As discussed above, at best Miya teaches

sending individual TPCs for an individual transmission interval in a TDD cycle.

Additionally, the present claims use a power command for the CCTrCH and an

interference measurement for each timeslot. Nowhere in Miya is this arrangement

disclosed, suggested, or taught.

Endo is relied upon by the Examiner as disclosing the transmission of

interference measurements. However, since Miya at best uses individual timeslot

TPCs, it is completely **non-obvious** why a person of ordinary skill in the art would

use an interference measurement in addition to the TPC. In fact, a person of

ordinary skill in the art would not consider combining the Endo reference with the

Miya reference. Additionally, the Endo reference fails to cure the previously stated

deficiencies of the Miya reference.

Neither the Miya nor Endo references, whether taken alone or in combination

with one another disclose, teach or suggest what is claimed in the Applicants'

present claims. An obviousness rejection cannot be sustained where the prior art

- 3 -

Applicant: Zeira et al.

Application No.: 09/845,803

does not suggest the claimed configuration. See Ex Parte Katoh et al, Appeal

20071460, Decided May 29, 2007 (BPAI 2007). Furthermore, rejections on

obviousness grounds must be supported with articulated reasoning with some

rational underpinning to support the legal conclusion of obviousness. KSR

International Co v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398, ___, 82 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1385, 1396 (U.S.

2007).

Furthermore, the Examiner cannot string together multiple references that

include bits and pieces of what is in the Applicants' claims, and then engage in

impermissible hindsight from the Applicants' disclosure in order to interpret the

bits and pieces as rendering the Applicants' claims obvious. It is well established

law that hindsight may not be utilized by the Examiner when rejecting claims

under Examination. "We find no suggestion to combine the teachings and

suggestions . . . as advanced by the Examiner, except from using Appellants'

invention as a template through a hindsight reconstruction of Appellants' claims."

Ex Parte Crawford et al, Appeal 20062429, Decided May 30, 2007 (BPAI 2007).

Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the claims are allowable over

the Miya and Endo references, whether taken alone, or in combination with one

another.

- 4 -

Applicant: Zeira et al. **Application No.:** 09/845,803

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request a Pre-Appeal Brief Review and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Zeira et al.

Thomas A. Mattioli Registration No. 56,773

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

TAM/amw